

Caseload Guidelines: Strategies and Recommendations

Webinar Agenda

Webinar Agenda

Recommendations

Strategies

Panel

Questions





## Reasons for Caseload Restructuring

- Focus on moderate and high risk
- Improve outcomes
- Efficient and fiscally responsible
- Increases staff morale









SUPERVISION PRACTICES







NON SUPERVISION TASKS

PANDEMIC &

Challenges to Determining Caseload Size



## Caseload Size Survey Results

- Caseload as of December 2023:

  County probation is supervising a total of 161,514 active cases

  1,604 staff dedicated to supervision

  Average caseload of 88.9 (slightly higher than 2022)

  Significant disparity in caseload sizes across the state

  Caseloads ranging from 15 to 200

  Significant disparity in caseload management across the state

  Types of caseloads

  Reporting requirements

  Workload appears to have more of an impact then caseloads

  77.7% of time on supervision

Appears to be numerous opportunities to reduce caseloads and workloads

American Probation and Parole Association

| Case Type                | Cases to Staff Ratio |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Intensive                | 20:1                 |
| Moderate to High<br>Risk | 50:1                 |
| Low Risk                 | 200:1                |
| Administrative           | No Limit? 1,000?     |

## Research on Caseload Size

Limited number of scientifically strong studies that have been conducted.

A review of 3,202 international papers found only 5 robust studies, 2 of which focused on mental health specialty caseloads.

| Study/State                            | Caseload               | Outcomes   |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Maryland (Taxman and colleagues, 2006) | 55:1 compared to 100:1 | Reduced rearrest rates (32.1% v. 40.9%) Reduced technical violations (20.1% vs. 29.2%) |
| Connecticut (Cox and colleagues, 2005) | 25:1 compared to 100:1 | Same rearrest rates<br>Reduced probation violations (8% vs. 13%)                       |
| Iowa (Jailbert and colleagues, 2011)   | 54:1 compared to 106:1 | Reduced rearrest by roughly 30%<br>Technical violations increased by 4%                |



## Smaller is Not Necessarily Better

Intensive programs that mostly relied on surveillance and immediate sanctions rather than focusing on treatment and interventions resulted in negative outcomes.

Need to include quality contacts:

- PurposefulBased on risk/needs
- Developing new skills
   Behavioral change strategies
- Accountability

| PPCJI Pennsylvania Partnership for Criminal Justice Improvement  |  |
|--|--|
| Pennsylvania Partnership for Criminal Justice Improvement recommends that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania <b>adopt</b> APPA's recommended caseload ratios.  |  |
| BASED ON A REVIEW OF CURRENT CASELOADS IN PA, NATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS, RESEARCH, OTHER AGENCIES AND JURISDICTIONS (PA & BEYOND)   |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Strategies to Reduce Caseloads Using Existing Staff  |  |
| 01  Manage by Risk  Manage Caseload  Manage Officers  Manage Officers  |  |
| Manage by Risk Level (Matching Alignment Evidence Undersity of Supervision to level of risk)  Manage Caseload Manage Officers Implement Evidence Based Practices (Inginement Government Supervision on-supervision related tasks)  Manage Dy Risk Manage Caseload Manage Officers Implement Evidence Based Practices (Implement Evidence Based Practices)  Implement Evidence B |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Panel Discussion   |  |
|  |  |
| Panelist:  - Ashlee Lynn (Moderator), Deputy Director, Allegheny County Adult Probation and Parole - Regina Himes, Executive Director, Armstrong Probation - Douglas Risson, Chief, Butler County Probation - Chadwick Libby, Director, Dauphin County Probation Services  |  |

| Thank you and<br>Questions! | williams 7/Gocs/Thinkstock |  |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|